

PROPOSED ORDER: Immediate Custody
Restoration Based on Nuclear Evidence

**PROPOSED ORDER ON MOTION FOR TEM-
PORARY FAMILY LAW ORDERS**

Superior Court of Washington, County of King

In re Parenting and Support of:
E.L.R., A.W.R., AND R.S.R.

Case No. 20-3-03830-3 SEA

Petitioner:
VERONIKA GOODNIGHT

Respondent:
MATHEW RALIDAK

**ORDER DENYING PETITIONER’S MOTION AND
GRANTING IMMEDIATE CUSTODY RESTORATION**

This matter came before the Court on Petitioner’s Motion for Temporary Family Law Orders filed July 11, 2025, and Respondent’s Opposition thereto. The Court has reviewed the pleadings, evidence submitted, including the nuclear evidence of Dr. Michael R. Oreskovich’s psychiatric evaluation, government agency validation from four independent sources, and constitutional framework analysis.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

I. DENIAL OF ALL TFLO REQUESTS

A. Mental Health Evaluation Request - DENIED

The Court finds that Petitioner’s request for mental health evaluation is **MOOT** as a comprehensive psychiatric evaluation has already been completed by

Dr. Michael R. Oreskovich, MD, Board Certified General and Addiction Psychiatrist, who concluded:

“There is no reason to preclude her having sole custody of her children.”

FINDING: The mental health evaluation Petitioner seeks has been completed and recommends the opposite relief from what Petitioner requests.

B. Mental Soundness Determination Request - DENIED

The Court finds that mental soundness has been **PROFESSIONALLY VALIDATED** by Dr. Oreskovich who determined:

“Veronika Goodnight is not impaired from any psychiatric, mental, behavioral or substance use disorder that would affect her ability to parent her children with reasonable skill and safety.”

FINDING: Professional psychiatric evaluation confirms Respondent’s mental soundness for parenting purposes.

C. Continued Separation Request - DENIED

The Court finds that continued separation **CONTRADICTS PROFESSIONAL RECOMMENDATION** as Dr. Oreskovich specifically recommends sole custody to Respondent, not continued separation.

FINDING: Expert professional evaluation contradicts the basis for Petitioner’s requested continued separation.

D. Restraining Order and Weapons Surrender - DENIED

The Court finds that protective restrictions lack factual foundation as: 1. **Police Investigation:** Officer Jennifer Kellogg concluded “no crime occurred between Ralidak and Goodnight” 2. **Professional Evaluation:** Dr. Oreskovich found no evidence of dangerous behavior 3. **Government Validation:** 4 out of 4 agencies found no basis for safety concerns

FINDING: No credible evidence supports need for protective restrictions.

II. CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS FINDINGS

A. Excessive Separation Duration

The Court finds that the current separation of **143 consecutive days** exceeds this Court’s previously established guidance of “not more than one week” by a factor of **20.4 times** ($143 \div 7 = 20.4$), representing a substantial constitutional violation requiring immediate remedy.

B. Government Validation Matrix

The Court finds that **4 out of 4 government agencies** have investigated and either: 1. Found no basis for separation (Police, DCYF), OR
2. Validated Respondent's concerns about process integrity (DCYF Supervisor, Department of Health)

MATHEMATICAL FINDING: 100% government validation supports Respondent's position.

C. Professional Unanimity

The Court finds **unanimous professional support** for Respondent's parenting capacity: - **This Court's Previous Finding:** Respondent performed "majority of day-to-day child-rearing" - **Psychiatric Evaluator:** Recommends sole custody to Respondent - **Primary Physician:** Supports Respondent's medical decision-making authority - **Court-Appointed GAL:** Found children healthy and well-cared for under Respondent's care

III. IMMEDIATE CUSTODY RESTORATION

A. Primary Residential Care

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that **IMMEDIATE CUSTODY** is restored to Respondent VERONIKA GOODNIGHT effective immediately upon entry of this Order, based on:

1. **Professional Psychiatric Recommendation:** Dr. Oreskovich recommends sole custody
2. **Government Validation:** 4:0 agencies support Respondent
3. **Constitutional Necessity:** 20.4x violation requires immediate remedy
4. **Professional Unanimity:** All evaluators support Respondent's parenting capacity

B. Implementation Schedule

IMMEDIATE TRANSITION: Petitioner shall transfer physical custody of the minor children to Respondent within **24 hours** of entry of this Order.

EMERGENCY CONTACT: If Petitioner fails to comply within 24 hours, Respondent is authorized to contact law enforcement for assistance in implementing this Order.

C. Residential Schedule Pending Full Hearing

Pending full hearing on the underlying parenting plan modification:

RESIDENTIAL TIME WITH RESPONDENT (MOTHER): - **Primary Residence:** All three children reside with Respondent - **School Registra-**

tion: Respondent authorized to maintain children in current schools - **Medical Decision-Making:** Respondent retains sole medical decision-making authority as previously ordered

RESIDENTIAL TIME WITH PETITIONER (FATHER): - **Supervised Visitation:** Every other Saturday, 10:00 AM to 4:00 PM - **Supervision Required:** Given the pattern of manufactured allegations and 143-day separation - **Location:** Neutral location to be agreed upon by parties or designated by Court

IV. PROTECTIVE MEASURES

A. Professional Oversight

THERAPEUTIC SERVICES: Any future therapeutic services for the minor children shall be: 1. **Jointly Selected:** Both parties must agree on provider selection 2. **Professionally Independent:** No provider with previous involvement in this case 3. **Court Order Compliance:** Provider must be educated on Final Parenting Plan requirements

B. Regulatory Coordination

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INVESTIGATION: The parties shall cooperate with the ongoing Department of Health investigation regarding License #MC61294206.

C. Communication Protocol

TALKING PARENTS PLATFORM: All communication between parties shall continue through Talking Parents platform with daily communication between children and non-residential parent.

V. CONSTITUTIONAL SAFEGUARDS

A. Due Process Protection

This Order restores constitutional protections by: 1. **Ending Excessive Separation:** 143-day separation violated due process standards 2. **Following Professional Recommendation:** Implementing Dr. Oreskovich's custody recommendation 3. **Respecting Government Findings:** Honoring 4:0 agency validation 4. **Restoring Parental Rights:** Returning children to judicially-preferred parent

B. Future Litigation Standards

PATTERN RECOGNITION: The Court notes the pattern of litigation despite \$14,500+ in previous sanctions and warns that future motions lacking professional or governmental support may result in additional sanctions.

VI. SANCTIONS AND ACCOUNTABILITY

A. Attorney Fees and Costs

FRIVOLOUS LITIGATION: Petitioner's motion sought relief directly contradicted by existing professional evidence. Respondent is awarded **reasonable attorney fees and costs** under RCW 26.09.140.

AMOUNT: Respondent may file detailed accounting of fees and costs for Court determination.

B. Litigation Pattern Warning

FUTURE SANCTIONS: The Court warns that continued litigation patterns contradicting professional evaluations and government findings may result in increased sanctions and potential contempt proceedings.

VII. EXPEDITED FULL HEARING

A. Scheduling

FULL HEARING DATE: The Court schedules a full hearing on the underlying parenting plan modification for **[DATE TO BE SET]** within 30 days of this Order.

B. Evidence Standards

NUCLEAR EVIDENCE PRECEDENT: Any future motions seeking separation must overcome the nuclear evidence standard established by: - Dr. Oreskovich psychiatric evaluation recommending sole custody - 4:0 government agency validation supporting mother - Constitutional crisis framework (20.4x violation) - Professional unanimity for custody restoration

VIII. EFFECTIVE DATE AND COMPLIANCE

A. Immediate Effect

This Order is effective **IMMEDIATELY UPON ENTRY** and shall remain in full force and effect until modified by subsequent Court order.

B. Enforcement Authority

LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE: If necessary, law enforcement agencies are authorized to assist in enforcement of this Order.

C. Contempt Warning

COMPLIANCE REQUIRED: Willful violation of this Order may result in contempt of court proceedings and additional sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2025

THE HONORABLE [JUDGE NAME]
Judge of the Superior Court

PRESENTED BY:

Veronika Goodnight
Pro Se Respondent
Date: August 13, 2025

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Attorney for Petitioner *(if applicable)*

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original Order on file in this office.

Clerk of the Superior Court
Date: _____